## BEFORE THE GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Seventh Floor, Kamat Towers, Patto, Panaji, Goa.

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 24/SCIC/2014

Shri Pedrito Misquitta, Souza Vaddo, Candolim, Bardez-Goa

... Appellant

V/s.

The State Public Information Officer,
 Office of the Sub Divisional Police Officer,
 Porvorim-Goa ......Respondent No. 1

The Superintendent of Police (North)
 & First Appellate Authority,
 Porvorim-Goa

.....Respondent No. 2

<u>Appeal Filed on: 27/02/2014</u> <u>Disposed on: 23/06/2016</u>

## **ORDER**

- 1. By an application dated 15/11/2013 the Appellant Shri Pedrito Misquitta had sought certain information under section 6 of the Right To Information Act 2005 (here in after referred to RTI Act) from the Public Information Officer of the Superintendent of Police (North), Porvorim-Goa at point No. A to I as stated therein in the said application with regards to his letter dated 08/11/2013 in respect of a Bar and Restaurant in the name of "MOCKBA" situated at Souza Vaddo, Candolim-Goa in the property surved under No. 44/02-A and under the property surveyed under No. 44/02.
- 2. Respondent No. 1–Public Information Officer (PIO) furnished the said information to the appellant by their letter dated 05/12/2013. Being not satisfied with the information at point F and I the Appellant filed 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal under section 19 (1) of the RTI act before Respondent No. 2 Superintendent of Police (North) being First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 04/01/2014. And the Respondent No. 2-FAA by an Order dated 06/02/2014 disposed the said Appeal by upholding say of Respondent No. 1-PIO. Being aggrieved by the Order of Respondent No. 2-FAA the Appellant preferred second Appeal before this Commission U/s 19 (3) of RTI Act on 27/02/2014.
- 3. Notice were served on the Appellant as well as on both the Respondent. During the hearing the Appellant was present in person. Respondent No. 1 was represented by Tushar Vernekar. and Respondent No. 2 was represented by Advocate Bhagat. Representative of Respondent No. 1-PIO

Shri Tushar Vernekar submitted that they are willing to provide additional information to the Appellant to the satisfaction of the Appellant.

- 4. During the hearing on 16/06/2016 the Appellant submitted before this Commission that, he has received some information in the morning and as such he is not interested in pursuing the said Appeal and wishes to withdraw the same. Further he also submitted that he is not insisting for invoking section 20 of the RTI Act. Accordingly he filed application for withdrawal before this Commission. The copy of the same was furnished to both the Respondents.
- 5. Since the information is furnished to the Appellant and in view of the submissions and application for withdrawal made by the Appellant, nothing survives in the present Appeal and hence the following order is passed:

## ORDER

Appeal is disposed as withdrawn, proceedings stands closed.

Copy of this order be served on the parties.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/-

(Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission